2002 Priority Setting for Standards and Test Procedure Rulemakings

Draft August 30, 2001

FISCAL 2002 PRIORITY SETTING FOR THE APPLIANCE STANDARDS RULEMAKING PROCESS

The enclosed data sheets reflect the priorities proposed for Fiscal Year 2002 by the Department of Energy, Office of Building Research and Standards. The Office requests comments on the data sheets and the proposed priorities and schedules. These proposed priorities are based on the presumption that the Lighting and Appliance Standards Program will be funded at its requested level for the fiscal year 2002. Final priorities will be based on the Department's consideration of comments received and funds available. Once rulemakings are completed low priority actions will be added to the high/medium priority lists.

Written comments should be submitted by October 11, 2001, to the U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, D.C. 20585-0121, Attn: Michael Raymond, EE-41, or by e-mail at Michael.Raymond@ee.doe.gov. If you have any questions, please contact Michael Raymond at (202) 586-9611.

Table of Contents

Product	Rulemaking	Priority	Page	Product	Rulemaking	Priority	Page
Central A/C & HP 65-240 kBtu	Standards	High	1	Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts*	Standards	Low	25
Central A/C & HP, 3ö, < 65 kBtu	Standards	Medium	2		Test Procedures	Low	26
Clothes Dryers	Standards	Low	3	High Intensity Discharge Lamps	Determination	Low	27
	Test Procedure	Low	4		Test Procedure	Low	28
Clothes Washers	Standards	Low	5	Lamps	Standards	Low	29
	Test Procedure*	Low	6		Test Procedure*	Low	30
Commercial A/C & Heat Pumps	Standards	Low	7	Mobile Home Furnaces	Standards	Low	31
	Test Procedure	Low	8		Test Procedure*	Low	32
Commercial Furnaces & Boilers	Standards	Low	9	Packaged Terminal A/C & Heat Pumps	Standards	High	33
Commercial Oil and Gas-Fired Packaged Boilers	Standards	Medium	10	Plumbing Fixtures/Fittings	Standards	Low	34
	Test Procedure	Low	11		Test Procedure*	Low	35
Commercial Furnaces	Test Procedure	Low	12	Pool Heaters (Gas)	Standards	Low	36
Commercial Water Heaters	Standards	Low	13		Test Procedure*	Low	37
	Test Procedure	Low	14	Refrigerators	Standards*	Low	38
Cooking Products	Standards	Low	15		Test Procedures	Low - Medium for Compacts	39
Cooking Products	Test Procedure	Low	16	Res. Central A/C and H. P.	Standards ¹	High	40
Direct Heating Equipment, Gas	Standards	Low	17		Test Procedure ¹	High	41
	Test Procedure*	Low	18	Res. Central A/C & HP – Ductless Split	Test Procedure	Medium	42
Dishwashers	Standards	Low	19	Res. Furnaces and Boilers	Standards	High	43
	Test Procedure ¹	High	20		Test Procedure*	Low	44
Distribution Transformers	Standards	High	21	Residential Water Heaters	Standards ¹	Low	45
	Test Procedure	Low	22		Test Procedure*	Low	46
Floris Marco 1 200 HS	Standards	τ	23	Room Air Conditioners	Standards*	Low	47
Electric Motors, 1-200 HP	Standards	Low			Test Procedure	Low	48
	Test Procedure*	Low	24	Tankless Gas-Fired Instantaneous Water Heaters	Standards	Medium	49
				Small Electric Motors	Determination	High	50
					Test Procedure	Low	51
¹ Drops to Low Priority upon completion	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	for these products have been recently published.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

¹ Drops to Low Priority upon completion

Summary of Priorities

Standards and Determinations (D)

High Priority Products	Page	Low Priority Products	Page
Air-Cooled Central Air Conditioners and Air- Source Heat Pumps, 65-240 kBtu/h	1	Clothes Dryers	3
Distribution Transformers	21	Clothes Washers*	5
Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps	33	Commercial A/C and Heat Pumps*	7
Residential Central AC/HP ¹	40	Commercial Furnaces & Boilers*	9
Residential Furnaces and Boilers	43	Commercial Water Heaters*	13
Small Electric Motors (D)	50	Cooking Products	15
		Direct Heating Equipment, Gas	17
		Dishwashers	19
Medium Priority Products		Electric Motors, 1-200 HP	23
Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps, 3 Phase, <65 kBtu/h	2	Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts*	25 Comm ercial
Commercial Oil and Gas-Fired Packaged Boilers	10	High Intensity Discharge Lamps (D)	27
Tankless Gas-Fired Instantaneous Water Heaters	49	Lamps	29
		Mobile Home Furnaces	31
		Plumbing Fixtures/Fittings	34
		Pool Heaters, Gas	36
		Refrigerators*	38
		Residential Water Heaters*	45
		Room Air Conditioners*	47

Test Procedures

High Priority Products	Page	Low Priority Products (cont)	Page
Dishwashers ¹	20	Direct Heating Equipment, Gas*	18
Residential Central A/C and Heat Pump ¹	41	Distribution Transformers	22
		Electric Motors, 1-200 HP*	24
Medium Priority Products		Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts	26
Compact Refrigerators	39	High Intensity Discharge Lamps	28
Ductless Split Central AC/HP	42	Lamps*	30
		Mobile Home Furnaces*	32
Low Priority Products		Commercial Oil and Gas-Fired Packaged Boilers	11
Clothes Dryers	4	Plumbing Fixtures/Fittings*	35
Clothes Washers*	6	Pool Heaters, Gas	37
Commercial A/C and Heat Pumps	8	Refrigerators	39
Commercial Furnaces	12	Residential Furnaces and Boilers*	44
Commercial Water Heaters	14	Residential Water Heaters*	46
Cooking Products*	16	Room Air Conditioners	48
		Small Electric Motors	51

¹ Drops to Low Priority upon Completion

^{*} Final Rules for these products have been recently published.

Product: Air-Cooled Central Air Conditioners and Air-Source Heat Pumps, 65-240 kBtu

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 2005- 2030	0.50 – 4.71 ¹ (in addition to savings due to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	0.4 (estimated NPV, billions of \$1998)
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Carbon emissions reduction – est. 7 million tons.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by September 2001. See page 8.
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	Possible State and regional environmental regulation (e.g. air quality).
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99, which would save an estimated 2.2 quads from 2001-2030. DOE will consider higher standards for additional energy savings.
FY 2001 Priority	High

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate rulemaking in FY 2001.
Rationale for Priority Level	Energy savings are significant.

¹ Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps, 3 phase, <65 kBtu

Priority: Medium

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	$1.29 - 4.09^2$
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	1.7 (estimated NPV, billions of \$1998)
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Carbon emissions reduction – est. 25 million tons.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by September 2001. See page 8.
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	Possible State and regional environmental regulation (e.g. air quality).
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99. Efficiencies of these products were left unchanged. Single-phase products are regulated by NAECA, and it is desirable to have the same standards for single and three phase versions. A DOE rulemaking is in progress for single phase products.
FY 2001 Priority	Medium

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate rulemaking when rulemaking for residential (single phase) products is completed.
Rationale for Priority Level	Energy savings are significant.

² Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Clothes Dryers - (Gas/Electric) **Priority:** Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)	0 - 4.3 ³
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not available
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Reduced annual cycles needs to be considered, definitions and creation of new product class for condensing dryers.
Other Regulatory Actions	DOE regulation of clothes washers. DOE regulation of white goods for full line manufacturers.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	There appears to be a general consensus among stakeholders that updating clothes dryer standards should be given low priority.
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	At least three U.S. manufacturers are marketing high efficiency clothes washers, which are likely to have improved moisture extraction.
Issues	Significant dryer savings potential will be considered in clothes washer rulemaking (greater moisture extraction). Mechanical extraction has been estimated to be 20 times more cost effective than thermal extraction.
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Interested Parties believe this is a low priority product. Other DOE standards will impose cumulative burden on white goods manufacturers.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Based on DOE preliminary analysis, June 2001

Product: Clothes Dryers - (Gas/Electric) **Priority:** Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needs to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	CSA has conducted specialized dryer tests and has asked DOE to consider revisions to the test procedure.
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	A new product class needs to be defined for condenser dryers. Currently there is one waiver in effect. There are numerous changes that are required prior to a
	standards rulemaking for clothes dryers.

Proposed Schedule	
Rationale for Priority Level	Considered to be a low priority by stakeholders.

Product: Clothes Washers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment					
Potential Energy Savings	Total range considered: [0.28 - 7.70] Specific examples below:					
from Regulatory Action;	Efficiency Improvement over the Base Case (MEF)					
Cumulative (Quads) 2004-2030	Entered improvement over the Base Case (MEI)					
	5% (0.860) 10% (0.908) 15% (0.961) 20% (1.021) 25% (1.089)					
	0.28 - 0.28					
	35% (1.257) 40% (1.362) 45% (1.485) 50% (1.634)					
	5.94 - 6.09 5.98 - 6.13 6.98 - 7.28 7.36 - 7.70					
	The Final Rule energy savings was 5.5 quads over 2004-2030.					
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	The Net Present Value (NPV) is \$15.3 billion cumulative from 2004 to 2030 in 1997 dollars.					
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	For period 2004- 2030, 95 million metric tons of carbon and 254 thousand metric tons of NO _x .					
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Final Rule issued January 12, 2001. Changes to the test procedure were incorporated into the standards rulemaking.					
Other Regulatory Actions	DOE regulation of clothes dryers. DOE regulation of white goods for full line manufacturers.					
Recommendations by Interested Parties						
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	Consortium for Energy Efficiency program with utilities. Energy Star program. Federal Energy Management Program for procurement initiative. At least three U.S. manufacturers are marketing high efficient clothes washers.					
Issues						
FY 2001 Priority	High					

Proposed Schedule	ANOPR - Published 11/19/98 NOPR - 7/00
	Final Rule - 01/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule published 1/12/2001

Product: Clothes Washers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure was changed as part of the standards rulemaking.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Published as part of standards rulemaking. NOPR - 7/00. Final Rule 01/01.
Rationale for Priority Level	Test procedure was revised recently to implement the standards rulemaking.

Product: Commercial Air Conditioners & Heat Pumps (All products for which DOE proposes to accept ASHRAE 90.1-1999

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	2.9 ⁴ (ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rules to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by September 2001.
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA phase out of HCFC refrigerants.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues FY 2001 Priority	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99. High

Proposed Schedule	Notice of Availability 5/00. Final Rule published 01/12/2001.
Rationale for Priority Level	Standards set by EPACT were amended to adopt revised ASHRAE 90.1. No
_	further action.

⁴ Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Commercial Air Conditioners & Heat Pumps (DOE accepts ASHRAE 90.1-1999 test procedures for all commercial a/c products.)

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Standards set by EPACT and are being amended upon revision of ASHRAE 90.1
Priority of Standard	Low for most products.
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 6/00
	Final Rule 10/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule should be published near beginning of FY2002.

Product: Commercial Furnaces and Boilers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	0.5 ⁵ (ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by June 2001 (furnaces) and July 2001 (boilers).
Other Regulatory Actions	Possible State and regional environmental regulation (e.g. air quality).
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99.
FY 2001 Priority	High

Proposed Schedule	Notice of Availability 5/00. Final Rule published 01/12/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Standards set by EPACT were amended to adopt revised ASHRAE 90.1. No
	further action.

⁵ Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Commercial Oil and Gas-Fired Packaged Boilers

Priority: Medium

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	0.12 – 0.60 ⁶ (in addition to savings due to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	0.2 (estimated NPV, billions of \$1998)
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Carbon emissions reduction – est. 4 million tons.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by July 2001.
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	Possible State and regional environmental regulation (e.g. air quality).
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99, which would save an estimated 0.06 quads from 2001-2030. DOE will consider higher standards for additional energy savings.
FY 2001 Priority	N/A

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate work in support of rulemaking
Rationale for Priority Level	Energy savings are significant.

⁶Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Commercial Oil and Gas-Fired Packaged Boilers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Standards set by EPACT are being amended upon revision of ASHRAE 90.1
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 8/00
	Final Rule 9/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Final rule should be published before FY2002.

Product: Commercial Furnaces

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Standards set by EPACT are being amended upon revision of ASHRAE 90.1
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 12/99 Final Rule - 9/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Final rule should be published before FY2002.

Product: Commercial Water Heaters

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	0.07 ⁷ (ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish the Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR in September 2001.
Other Regulatory Actions	
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues FY 2001 Priority	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99. High

Proposed Schedule	Notice of Availability 5/00. Final Rule 1/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Standards set by EPACT and are being amended to adopt revised ASHRAE 90.1

⁷Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Commercial Water Heaters

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Standards set by EPACT and will be amended upon revision of ASHRAE 90.1
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 6/00
	Final Rule - 09/01
Rationale for Priority Level	Final rule should be published before FY2002.

Product: Cooking Products - Gas & Electric Ovens, Cook Tops, and Microwave Ovens

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 2000-2030 Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Total ranges considered (Gas only): 8 Ovens Cook Tops [0.1 - 2.1] [0 - 0.5] [(9.3) - 0.1] [(4.0) - 0.02] NPV, billions of 1990\$ @ 7%
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	NOx [11 - 239] NOx [0 - 65] CO ₂ [6 - 133] CO ₂ [0 - 36] Emission reductions in (kt) for NOx, and (Mt) for CO ₂ .
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures Other Regulatory Actions	DOE regulation of white goods for full line manufacturers.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	2 0 2 10 guardion of White goods 10. Jun 1110 manufacture 15.
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Pilotless designs may require installation of an electrical outlet. Loss of consumer utility if loss of electrical power. If a loss of electricity, cannot use oven.
FY 2000 Priority	High (Gas); Low (Electric)

Proposed Schedule and Rationale

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule - TBD				
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential energy savings are low to moderate. Analysis too old to use - requires				
	new analysis for rulemaking.				

8 Based on Draft Report, April 1996 and Supplemental Analysis, November 1997.

Product: Cooking Products - Ovens, Cook Tops, and Microwave Ovens

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needed to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule issued - October 3, 1997
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Direct Heating Equipment (Gas)

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment							
Potential Energy Savings	Total range considered: [0 - 0.1] ⁹ Specific examples below: 10							
from Regulatory Action;	Piezo ignit. & Previous & Previous,							
Cumulative (Quads) 1998-	Piezo i	gnit.	Derate 20%	Induced Draft	Condens. &			
2030	(64.8%)	(66.9%	(78.0%	Modulat. Oper.			
	AFUE)		AFUE)	AFUE)	(87.0% AFUE)			
	0	.1	0	(0.3)	(1.0)			
Potential Economic	[(1.4) - 0.1] NPV, Billions of 1990\$ @ 7%							
Benefits/Burdens		0	0.1		.6)	(1.4)		
Potential Environmental or	SO_2	0	(7)	(1	40)	(320)		
Energy Security Benefits	NOx	0	(6)	(1	32)	(301)		
	CO_2	0	(3)	`	,	(165)		
<u> </u>	Emission reductions in (kt) for SO ₂ and NOx, and (Mt) for CO ₂ .							
Status of Required Changes to	Final rule published 5/12/97.							
Test Procedures								
Other Regulatory Actions	None known that will impact product.							
Recommendations by								
Interested Parties	!							
Evidence of Market-Driven or	None known.							
Voluntary Efficiency								
Improvements	!							
Issues	Fuel switching. Rural communities use for backup heating during power outages.							
	Utility concern with electronic ignition.							
FY 2001 Priority	Low							

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Interested parties believe this is a low priority product. Potential energy savings are low.

Based on DOE preliminary analysis, June 1995.

Examples shown for design options and AFUE are for gravity wall heaters (27 - 46 kBtu/hr).

Product: Direct Heating Equipment (Gas)

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule issued 5/12/97
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Dishwashers **Priority:** Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)	0.34 - 0.7911
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not available.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Test procedure is being revised to properly reflect energy consumption for new technologies (e.g. adaptive controls) and reduced annual cycles.
Other Regulatory Actions	DOE regulation of white goods for full line manufacturers.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	Some manufacturers believe that updating the dishwasher standard should be given a low priority.
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	Energy Savers program. Federal Energy Management Program for procurement initiative. At least two U.S. manufacturers are marketing adaptive control dishwashers.
Issues	Increased efficiency may impact product utility (e.g. may require pre-rinsing of dishes or cleaning of filters) or the availability of affordable models (contract housing).
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Estimated potential energy savings are moderate.

¹¹ Based on DOE preliminary analysis, June 2001

Product: Dishwashers
Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needed to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	Efforts underway to harmonize international test procedures should include dishwashers.
Recommendation by Interested Parties	Manufacturers support a test procedure revision for more accurate testing of new adaptive control models. Industry working on revising its test procedure suggestions to encompass the variety of sensor techniques now in the market.
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	New technology in product, i.e. smart controls, fuzzy logic.

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - published 9/99
_	Reopening Notice - 7/00
	Final Rule -01/02
Rationale for Priority Level	New technology in product, i.e. smart controls, fuzzy logic.

Product: Distribution Transformers

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995-	[.39-10.7] ¹²
2030 Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Need to publish a test procedure before rule.
Other Regulatory Actions	None known.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	EPA Energy Star program for liquid immersion transformers. NEMA=s TP-1 and the National Business Awareness Campaign to promote energy efficient electrical products.
Issues	Most efficient designs include proprietary technology. NEMA recommends adoption of voluntary standards as specified in TP-1. Energy savings questioned by NEMA.
FY 2001 Priority	High

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to begin actively pursuing standards rulemaking in 2001.
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential for significant energy savings.

¹² Based on DOE determination notice, October 22, 1997.

Product: Distribution Transformers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needs to be established for standard.
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	NEMA recommends using NEMA TP-2 test standard.
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	Sampling Plan; Definitions of Covered Products

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - published 11/12/98
	Reopening Notice 6/99
	Final Rule - 8/00
Rationale for Priority Level	Test procedure should be completed during FY 2000.

Product: Electric Motors, 1 - 200 HP

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)	Estimate 31.3 billion KW/yr could be saved through enforcement of EPCA efficiency standards for electric motors – enough electricity to meet the lighting needs of all U.S. households for 4 months.
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not Available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not Available.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Final rule for test procedures published 10/5/99.
Other Regulatory Actions	None known that will impact product.
Recommendations by	Enforcement
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency	ASHRAE 90.1. A Consortium for Energy Efficiency@program with utilities. Motor Challenge. Motor Master+
Improvements	DOE
Issues	DOE regulates system efficiencies (e.g. HVAC) where motors are components of such systems.
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next two years. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Interested Parties believe this is a low priority product. Potential energy savings are unknown at this time. Determination required by EPCA

Product: Electric Motors, 1 - 200 HP

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needed to be revised to support the standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	Natural Resources Canada: Energy Efficiency Regulations for Electric Motors International Electrotechnical Commission/International Standards Organisation (IEC/ISO)
Recommendation by Interested Parties Statutory Deadline	Manufacturers and energy efficiency advocates support test procedure rulemaking.
Issues	Expect DOE test procedure to be revised for compatibility with global (IEC/ISO) test procedure.

Proposed Schedule	Proposed Rule Issued - 11/27/97
	Final Rule – 10/5/99
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule recently published.

Product: Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 2000-2030	1.2 – 2.3
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	1.4 – 2.6 NPV, billions of 1997\$ @ 7%
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	None required.
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	Some ballast manufacturers also make electric motors.
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	EPA Green Lights and Energy Star buildings, ASHRAE 90.1, DOE=s Federal Relighting Initiative (FEMP), The Energy Cost Savings Council, and some utility DSM programs.
Issues	Standards, for electronic ballasts, may adversely affect U.S. manufacturers. Impact on U.S. employment levels.
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 3/00
	Final Rule - 9/00
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule in FY2000.

Product: Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Efficiency levels for new standards are already in the market and are covered by existing standards and test procedures.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule and Rationale:

Proposed Schedule	
Rationale for Priority Level	

26

Standards Determination

Product: High Intensity Discharge (HID) Lamps

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	[0.11-0.22] ¹³
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not Available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	IES and ANSI procedures are in place. Issues with definitions, covered products and sampling.
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA mercury disposal requirements may apply.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	Mercury vapor lamps being replaced by metal halide and high pressure sodium lamps.
Issues	Concern about non-equitable impact of possible elimination of mercury vapor lamps (e.g. significant regional and municipal variation exists). High first cost impact (elimination of mercury vapor lamps will require fixture replacement).
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year.
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential energy savings are low.

Based on DOE rough estimate, May 1996.

Product: High Intensity Discharge (HID) Lamp

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure needs to be developed for standard.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	No work expected during FY2001.
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Lamps, Fluorescent and Incandescent

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)	Not Available.
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not Available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not Available.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	IES and ANSI procedures are in place, DOE test procedure Final Rule issued 5/29/97
Other Regulatory Actions	Existing EPA mercury disposal requirements apply, but EPA issued a final rule July 6, 1999, including lamps as Universal Hazardous Waste.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	EPA Green lights, Energy Star Buildings, ASHRAE 90.1, and some utility DSM programs, FEMP.
Issues	Because lamps are components of systems, establishment of standards is more difficult.
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Interested Parties believe this is a low priority product. Potential energy savings are unknown at this time. Statutory deadline is 1997 (2002) for amending current lamp standards and 1999 for adding additional general service fluorescent and incandescent lamps.

Product: Lamps, Fluorescent and Incandescent

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule issued 5/29/97
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Mobile Home Furnaces

Priority: Low

ority: Low					
Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment				
Potential Energy Savings			Imprv. fan		
from Regulatory Action;			motor &		
Cumulative (Quads) 1998-		Imprv. fan	burner box		
2030		motor	damper	Condensing	
	Gas	(76.6% AFUE)	(79.6 AFUE)	(91.7 AFUE)	
				Imprv. fan motor,	
			Imprv. fan	ht. exchgr.,	
		Imprv. fan	motor	condens. & full	
	ll	motor	(82.1%	modulation	
	Oil	(82.1% AFUE)		(93.7% AFUE)	
		0.1	0.1	0.5	
	Total	range considere	ed: [0.1 - 0.6]	Specif	ic examples below:
Potential Economic	[(0.8) - 0.1] NPV,	Billions of 1990	0\$ @ 7%	
Benefits/Burdens		0.1	0.	1 (0.2)
Potential Environmental or	SO ₂		16	17	4
Energy Security Benefits	NOx	1	15	16	4
	CO_2		9	9	2
	Emis	sion reductions	in (kt) for SO_2 a	and NOx, and (Mt)	for CO ₂ .
Status of Required Changes to	Final	rule issued 5/12	2/97.		
Test Procedures					
Other Regulatory Actions	None known that will impact product.				
Recommendations by	Ī				
Interested Parties					
Evidence of Market-Driven or	None known.				
Voluntary Efficiency					
Improvements					
Issues	Fuel switching. Limited space for installation.				
FY 2001 Priority	Low	Ü			

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential energy savings are low to moderate. Higher standards levels requiring technologies, such as condensing furnaces would impact utility to consumers.

¹⁴ Based on DOE preliminary analysis, June 1995.

Product: Mobile Home Furnaces

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final rule issued 5/12/97.
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	0.55 – 0.78 ¹⁵ (in addition to savings due to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	0.6 (estimated NPV, billions of \$1998).
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish Final Rules to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR by September 2001.
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA phase out of HCFC refrigerants.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99, which would save an estimated 0.11 quads from 2001-2030. DOE will consider higher standards for additional energy savings.
FY 2001 Priority	N/A

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate rulemaking in FY2001.
Rationale for Priority Level	Energy savings are significant.

¹⁵ Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Product: Plumbing Fixtures/Fittings

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)	The Department has not conducted any recent analysis regarding potential energy savings for this product.
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not available.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by	None.
Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues	As flow rates and water consumption decline the effects on utility need to be carefully considered.
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Dependent upon revision by ASME and approval by ANSI to ASME/ANSI A112.18.1 and ASME/ANSI A112.19.6.

Product: Plumbing Fixtures/Fittings

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule - March 18, 1998
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Pool Heaters (Gas)

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting			Assessm	nent
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads)		Total range considered: $[0.2 - 0.9]^{16}$ Specific examples below: IID, (78% E_T) Non-condensing limit, (82.2% E_T) Condensing, (90.8% E_T)		
2000-2030	112	0.2	0.4	0.7
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	[(1.4)	0.2] NPV, 1	Billions of 1990\$ @ 7% 0.2	(0.6)
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	SO ₂ NOx CO ₂ Emission	0 42 11 on reductions	0 42 18 in (kt) for SO ₂ and NO	0 42 35 x, and (Mt) for CO ₂ .
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Final ru	ile issued 5/1	2/97.	
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	None k	nown that wi	ll impact product.	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None k	nown.		
Issues FY 2001 Priority	Low			

Proposed Schedule	DOE does not plan to actively pursue rulemaking in the next year. Work would be limited to basic technology investigation and monitoring of voluntary programs.
Rationale for Priority Level	Interested Parties believe this is a low priority product. Potential energy savings are low.

¹⁶ Based on DOE preliminary analysis, June 1995

Product: Pool Heaters (Gas)

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final rule issued 5/12/97.
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Refrigerators, Refrigerator/Freezers, & Freezers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1998- 2030	The Department has not conducted any recent analysis regarding potential energy savings for this product.
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not available
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	No changes required for standards.
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA phase out of insulation HCFCs in 2003. DOE regulation of white goods for full line manufacturers.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	Super Efficient Refrigerator Program (Golden Carrot). New York Housing Authority mass procurement. Energy Savers program. Significant quantities of new high efficiency models are being marketed.
Issues FY 2001 Priority	Final Rule Issued - April 28, 1997. Low

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule Issued - April 28, 1997
Rationale for Priority Level	Rule issued, will be effective July 1, 2001

Product: Refrigerators, Refrigerator/Freezers, & Freezers

Priority: Medium for compact refrigerators and refrigerator/freezers, Low for all others.

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	Tests at NIST have revealed deficiencies in the compact refrigerator test procedure. These will be researched and corrected, probably by revising the test procedure for compact refrigerators.

Proposed Schedule	NIST tested compact refrigerators, and proposed a modification of the compact refrigerator test procedure. A rulemaking to modify the test procedure will begin in 2002.
Rationale for Priority Level	Compact refrigerator manufacturers have obtained inconsistent results when testing each other's products. Deficiencies in test procedure have been identified.

Product: Residential Central Air Conditioners & Heat Pumps **Priority:** High - drops to Low priority upon completion

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment			
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action;	Total range considered: [2.2 – 10.4] ¹⁷ Specific examples below:			
Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	<u>11 SEER</u> <u>12 SEER</u> <u>13 SEER</u> <u>18 SEER</u> 2.2 3.8 5.4 10.4			
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	[(22) - 0] NPV, Billions of 1998\$ @ 7% 0 (1) (5) (22)			
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.			
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Changes are not required for standards.			
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA phase out of HCFC-22 refrigerant - 2010 DOE regulation of furnaces.			
Recommendations by Interested Parties				
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency	Energy Star program recommending a 12 SEER.			
Improvements Issues	Small manufacturers. Niche Products. Regional variation.			
FY 2001 Priority	High			

Proposed Schedule	SANOPR - 11/99 NOPR - 8/00
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule – 1/01 - quashed - new proposed rule published July 25, 2001. Potential energy savings are large.
Rationale for Priority Level	Fotential energy savings are large.

¹⁷ Based on DOE analysis for NOPR, see www.eren.doe.gov/buildings/codes_standards/index.htm.

Product: Residential Central Air Conditioners & Heat Pumps

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure does not need to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	Would like to see it published.
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	Many changes to accommodate new technology. ARI has submitted data for and developed new default cyclic degradation coefficients.

Proposed Schedule	NOPR - 1/01
	Final Rule - 3/02
Rationale for Priority Level	Test procedure revision long overdue.

Product: Residential Central Air Conditioners & Heat Pumps – Ductless Split Systems

Priority: Medium

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure does not need to be changed for standard.
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	Ductless split system manufacturers would prefer to use calorimeter test.
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	Calorimeter test (which is used for room air conditioners) is more suitable and accurate for testing ductless split central air conditioners, but this test is not currently in the DOE central air conditioning test procedure.

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate work in support of rulemaking
Rationale for Priority Level	Change would make test procedure more accurate for this product.

Product: Residential Furnaces & Boilers

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment				
Potential Energy Savings	Total rang	Total range considered: [0.6 - 10.2] ¹⁸ Specific examples below:			
from Regulatory Action;		Insul., IID, imprv.			
Cumulative (Quads)		fan motor, & two	Previous &	Gas	
2000-2030	Gas	stage oper.	condensing	absorption	
	Furnaces	(81.8% AFUE)	(92% AFUE)	heat pump	
			IID & pulse	Gas	
	Gas	IID	condensing	absorption	
	Boilers	(81.8% AFUE)	(90.4%	heat pump	
		0.6	3.7	10.2	
Potential Economic	Not available.				
Benefits/Burdens					
Potential Environmental or	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however,				
Energy Security Benefits	estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative				
	emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are more				
!	significant than other products.				
Status of Required Changes to	Final rule issued 5/12/97				
Test Procedures					
Other Regulatory Actions	Possible State and regional environmental regulation. DOE regulation of central				
	air conditioning products. Consumer Product Safety Commission - possible				
ļ	regulation.				
Recommendations by					
Interested Parties					
Evidence of Market-Driven or	Energy Star program. Wisconsin state condensing furnace/boiler program.				
Voluntary Efficiency	ACEEE indicated that trend for higher efficiency products stopped in 1994.				
Improvements					
Issues					
FY 2001 Priority	Low				

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate rulemaking in 2001.	
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential energy savings are significant. Higher standards levels requiring	
	technologies such as condensing furnaces would impact utility to consumers.	

Based on DOE rough estimate for gas only, May 1996.

Product: Residential Furnaces & Boilers

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Final rule issued 5/12/97
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Residential Water Heaters - Gas, Oil & Electric

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting			Asses	sment			
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action;	Total ranges c $3.4 - 13.1^{1}$						
Cumulative (Quads) 2003-2030	Electric	Heat Traps & Insul. Tank Bottom	Heat Traps, 2.5" Insul. & Insul. Tank Bottom	Ht. Traps, 3" Insul. & Plastic Tank			
	Gas	2" Insul., Heat Traps, Flue Baffle		3" Insul., Sidearm w/ 80% Flue Baffle & IID			
	Quads at source	3.4	4.8	13.1			
	NPV, 1998\$	2.3	3.3	-17.4			
	SO2 (kt)	4	-6				
	NOx (kt) CO2 (Mt)	141	229 83	599 219			
		!	gs was 4.6 quads				
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens				cumulative from 2	2004 to 20	30 in 1997	
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	For period 200 NO _x .	04- 2030, 152 1	million metric to	ns of carbon and	273 thousa	and metric to	ons of
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Changes not re	equired for star	ndards. Final rul	e for test procedu	re was pub	olished in 19	998.
Other Regulatory Actions				3). Consumer Proble vapors by gas			ion
Recommendations by Interested Parties		<u> </u>		, ,			
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	Demand-side management programs for high efficiency water heaters.						
Issues	Electronic ignition has impact on customer utility. Fuel switching. Replacement blowing agent for insulation. Installation in small spaces.						
FY 2001 Priority	High						

Proposed Schedule	NOPR – 4/00 Final Rule - 1/01 No
Rationale for Priority Level	Final Rule published 1/17/2001.

¹⁹ Based on DOE analysis May 1999. Energy savings reduced due to phase out of HCFC-141b insulation blowing agent in 2003.

Product: Residential Water Heaters - Gas, Oil & Electric

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	No change needed
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	
Rationale for Priority Level	Test procedure published in May 1998.

Product: Room Air Conditioners

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 2000 -2030	The Department has not conducted any recent analysis regarding potential energy savings for this product.
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Not available
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	Not required for standards.
Other Regulatory Actions	EPA phase out of HCFC-22 refrigerant.
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency	DSM programs. Labeling program very effective.
Improvements	
Issues	Final Rule Issued - September 24, 1997
FY 2001 Priority	Low

Proposed Schedule	Final Rule Issued - September 24, 1997
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Room Air Conditioners

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	Test Procedure not needed to be changed for standard
Priority of Standard	Low
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	
Rationale for Priority Level	

Product: Tankless Gas-Fired Instantaneous Water Heaters

Priority: Medium

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1995- 2030	0.10 ²⁰ (ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999)
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	DOE plans to publish the Final Rule to incorporate the test procedures referred to in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into the CFR in September 2001.
Other Regulatory Actions	
Recommendations by Interested Parties	
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues FY 2001 Priority	Revised ASHRAE 90.1 standards approved 6/99. High

Proposed Schedule	Notice of Availability 5/00. Final Rule 5/02
Rationale for Priority Level	Standards set by EPACT and are being amended to adopt revised ASHRAE 90.1

²⁰ Based on Screening Analysis Report for Commercial HVAC Standards, see 65 FR 30929.

Standards Determination

Product: Small Electric Motors

Priority: High

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Potential Energy Savings from Regulatory Action; Cumulative (Quads) 1998- 2030	[0.8-4.5] ²¹
Potential Economic Benefits/Burdens	Not available.
Potential Environmental or Energy Security Benefits	Specific estimates of emission reductions have not been developed however, estimated energy savings indicated above are indicative of the comparative emission benefits that are likely to be possible. Expected oil savings are minimal.
Status of Required Changes to Test Procedures	IEEE 114 test procedure for single-phase induction motors is under review.
Other Regulatory Actions Recommendations by Interested Parties	Small motors used in NAECA "covered products" (e.g. white goods) are exempt.
Evidence of Market-Driven or Voluntary Efficiency Improvements	None known.
Issues FY 2001 Priority	None. Medium

Proposed Schedule	DOE plans to initiate determination in FY2002.		
Rationale for Priority Level	Potential energy savings are significant. Determination required by EPCA.		

Based on draft DOE report, May 1996.

Product: Small Electric Motors

Priority: Low

Factors for Priority Setting	Assessment
Relationship to Changes in Standard	
Priority of Standard	High
International or Other Coordinating Activities	
Recommendation by Interested Parties	
Statutory Deadline	
Issues	

Proposed Schedule	Dependent on Determination.
Rationale for Priority Level	